

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/539,220	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HERON ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> TAMTHOM N. TRUONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 1624

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) TAMTHOM N. TRUONG. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Jacob G. Weintraub. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 November 2008**Time:** ~ 1 pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Mr. Weintraub authorized the amendment to claim 12, which adds the phrase -- A compound, which is -- to the claim.***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tamthom N. Truong/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)